

Problem set 10

Problem 1.

Remember three length scale $a \ll \Delta R \ll L$

It means ΔR is so large (equivalently Δk is $\ll \frac{2\pi}{a}$), that locally ($\sim a$), the wave packet is still a Bloch electron. But at the same time, $\Delta R \ll L$ the scale of the solid and the scale of external field. Therefore, this wave packet is microscopically a Bloch electron and meanwhile, macroscopically a "point".

Starting from electric static force with potential $U(R)$)

Consider translation operator $\hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{p} \cdot \vec{\alpha}} = e^{\nabla \cdot \vec{\alpha}}$

The operation of $\hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}$ on function $f(\vec{x})$ is $\hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{x}) = f(\vec{x} + \vec{\alpha})$

Remember a Bloch wave $\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$ is eigenstate of $\hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}$

$$\hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\alpha}} \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$$

$$\text{So, } \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \rangle = \langle \psi_{\vec{k}} | \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} | \psi_{\vec{k}} \rangle = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{\alpha}}$$

For wave packet, we similarly define $\langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle = e^{i\vec{K}(t) \cdot \vec{\alpha}}$

Consider Hamiltonian $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_0 + U(R)$

Heisenberg equation of motion is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}_0 + U(R), \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}] \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $[\hat{H}_0, \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}] = 0$, the only non-vanishing term is $[U(R), \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}]$

$$[U(R), \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}] f(\vec{R}) = U(\vec{R}) \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{R}) - \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} U(R) f(\vec{R}) = [U(\vec{R}) - U(\vec{R} + \vec{\alpha})] \cdot \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{R}).$$

Since external field varies slowly respect to a , it is reasonable to approximate

$$[U(\vec{R} + \vec{\alpha}) - U(\vec{R})] = \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} U(\vec{R}) \cdot \vec{\alpha}$$

Calculating the expectation gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \langle -\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} U(\vec{R}) \cdot \vec{\alpha} | \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \rangle$$

Remember $\langle \dots \rangle$ is calculated w.r.t. a wave packet, which is localized comparing to field.

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} (-\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} U \cdot \vec{\alpha}) \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \rangle = \frac{i}{\hbar} \vec{F} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}} \rangle$$

By definition $e^{i\vec{K}(t) \cdot \vec{\alpha}} = \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle = \frac{d}{dt} e^{i\vec{K}(t) \cdot \vec{\alpha}} = i \frac{d\vec{K}}{dt} \cdot \vec{\alpha} \langle \hat{T}_{\vec{\alpha}}(t) \rangle$$

Compare two equation and notice $\vec{\alpha}$ can be chosen arbitrarily

$$\frac{1}{\hbar} \vec{F} = \frac{d\vec{K}}{dt} \Leftrightarrow \hbar \frac{d\vec{K}}{dt} = \vec{F}$$

Now we study the equation of motion of the center of wave packet \vec{R}

$$\frac{d\vec{R}}{dt} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \vec{R}] = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\frac{\hat{P}^2}{2m_e} + V(\vec{R}) + U(\vec{R}), \vec{R} \right] = \frac{i}{2m_e} [\vec{P}^2, \vec{R}]$$

Using $[\vec{R}, \vec{P}^2] = 2\hbar i \vec{P}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{R} = \frac{\vec{P}}{m_e} \quad \mapsto \quad \frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{R} \rangle = \frac{\langle \vec{P} \rangle}{m_e}$$

For Bloch wave $\psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} u_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$, $\hat{H}_0 \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r}) = E(\vec{k}) \psi_{\vec{k}}(\vec{r})$

$$\nabla_{\vec{k}} E(\vec{k}) = \nabla_{\vec{k}} \langle \psi_{\vec{k}} | \hat{H}_0 | \psi_{\vec{k}} \rangle = \nabla_{\vec{k}} \langle u_{\vec{k}} | e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \hat{H}_0 e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} | u_{\vec{k}} \rangle = \nabla_{\vec{k}} \langle u_{\vec{k}} | e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} \frac{\vec{P}^2}{2m_e} e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}} | u_{\vec{k}} \rangle$$

$$= \frac{i}{2m_e} \langle u_{\vec{k}} | [\vec{r}, \vec{P}^2] | u_{\vec{k}} \rangle = -\frac{i}{2m_e} \cdot 2\hbar i \langle u_{\vec{k}} | \vec{P} | u_{\vec{k}} \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{m_e} \langle u_{\vec{k}} | \vec{P} | u_{\vec{k}} \rangle$$

Comparing two equations gives $\frac{d}{dt} \langle \vec{R} \rangle = \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{\vec{k}} E = v_g$

For generalization to Lorentz force, we can only provide a heuristic derivation.

Let $\vec{k}(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar} \left[\vec{P} - \frac{q}{c} \vec{A}(\vec{R}, t) \right]$ and $\hat{T}_{\vec{a}} = e^{i\vec{k}(t) \cdot \vec{a}}$

Again, the Heisenberg EOM

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}] + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}$$

The explicit part gives $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} = \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(-\frac{q}{c} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A} \cdot \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}$

$$\frac{i}{\hbar} [H, \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}] = \frac{i}{\hbar} [E(\vec{k}), \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}] + \frac{i}{\hbar} [\varrho \phi(\vec{R}), \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}]$$

The second term again gives $\frac{i}{\hbar} [\varrho \phi, \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}] = \frac{i\varrho}{\hbar} (-\vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} \phi \cdot \vec{a}) \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{The first term } \frac{i}{\hbar} [E(\vec{k}), \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}] &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(-\nabla_{\vec{k}} E(\vec{k}) \cdot \delta \vec{k} \right) \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} \\ &= \frac{i}{\hbar} \left(-\hbar v_g \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{a} \right) \left(-\frac{q}{\hbar c} \right) \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} \\ &= \frac{i\varrho}{\hbar c} \underbrace{\vec{v}_g \cdot \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} \vec{A} \cdot \vec{a}}_{\vec{F}} \stackrel{?}{=} \frac{i\varrho}{\hbar c} \vec{v}_g \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} \end{aligned}$$

All together gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{T}_{\vec{a}} = \frac{i\varrho}{\hbar} \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \vec{v}_g \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla}_{\vec{R}} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A} \right\} \cdot \vec{a} \hat{T}_{\vec{a}}$$

Similarly, $i \hbar \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} = \varrho \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \vec{v}_g \times (\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A}) - \vec{\nabla} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \vec{A} \right\}$.

Using $\vec{\nabla} \times \vec{A} = \vec{B}$, $-\vec{\nabla} \phi - \frac{1}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi = \vec{E}$

$$\hbar \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} = \vec{F} = \varrho \left\{ \frac{1}{c} \vec{v}_g \times \vec{B} + \vec{E} \right\}.$$

Let $\varrho = -e$

Problem 2.

Relation between electrons and holes

$$\vec{k}_h = -\vec{k}_e, \quad \epsilon_e(\vec{k}_e) = -\epsilon_h(\vec{k}_h)$$

$$v_g = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{R}_e = \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{k_e} \epsilon_e = \frac{1}{\hbar} \nabla_{k_h} \epsilon_h = \frac{d}{dt} \vec{R}_h$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} v_g = \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \vec{R} = \frac{d}{dt} \nabla_{k_e} \epsilon_e = \frac{1}{\hbar} \sum_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 \epsilon_e}{\partial k_i \partial k_j} \vec{e}_i \cdot \frac{\partial \vec{k}_j}{\partial t}$$

Using $\epsilon(\vec{k}) = \epsilon(\vec{k}_0) - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m^*} (\vec{k} - \vec{k}_0)^2$ and $\hbar \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} = -e \left\{ \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B} \right\}$.

$$\frac{d}{dt} v_g = \frac{e}{m^*} \left\{ \vec{E} + \frac{1}{c} \vec{v} \times \vec{B} \right\}$$

While hole's EOM gives $\hbar \frac{d\vec{k}_h}{dt} = -\hbar \frac{d\vec{k}}{dt} = +e \left\{ \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B} \right\}$.

$$\frac{\partial \vec{k}_h}{\partial k_h} \nabla_{k_h} \vec{v}_g = -\nabla_{k_h} \vec{v}_g = -\frac{\hbar}{m^*}$$

For holes

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} R = \nabla_{k_h} v_g \cdot \frac{d\vec{k}_h}{dt} = \frac{e}{m^*} \left\{ \vec{E} + \frac{\vec{v}}{c} \times \vec{B} \right\}$$