



https://www2.physik.uni-muenchen.de/lehre/vorlesungen/sose_24/t_m1_advanced-statistical-physics/index.html

Sheet 10:

Hand-out: Friday, Jun. 21, 2024; Hand-in: Sunday, Jun. 30, 2024, 11:59 pm

Problem 1 Duality of a \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory & an Ising model – (solution: Central Exercise)

In this exercise, we discuss the simplest duality between a 2D lattice gauge theory (LGT) and a 1D Ising model. (There exist similar dualities between more complicated lattice gauge theories and higher-dimensional Ising models, which have led to the realization of phase transitions without local order parameters, but we won't discuss this here.)

We consider the simplest so-called Ising or \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge theory in two dimensions. To obtain its degrees of freedom, we start from Ising spins $s_{\langle i, j \rangle} = \pm 1$ defined on the links $\langle i, j \rangle$ of a square lattice with lattice sites i, j . However, many different configurations $\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}$ can represent the *same* physical state $[\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}]$: Two configurations are called gauge-equivalent, $\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\} \simeq \{s'_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}$ iff $s'_{\langle i, j \rangle}$ can be obtained from $s_{\langle i, j \rangle}$ (and vice versa) by applying gauge transformations $\prod_n G(\mathbf{r}_n)$ on lattice sites \mathbf{r}_n defined as follows. A local gauge transformation at site \mathbf{j} flips the sign of all link variables $s_{\langle i, j \rangle}$ whose link contains site \mathbf{j} , i.e.

$$G(\mathbf{j})s_{\langle i, j \rangle} = -s_{\langle i, j \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad G(\mathbf{j})s_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} = s_{\langle \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{x} \rangle} \quad \text{iff} \quad \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{r} \neq \mathbf{j}. \quad (1)$$

(1.a) (4 Points) Show that \simeq defines an equivalence relation. The equivalence classes $A = [\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}]$ define the set of physically allowed states. As an example, determine all physical states, i.e. all equivalence classes, on a 2×2 lattice with four links (i.e. one plaquette).

(1.b) (4 Points) The Hamiltonian of the Ising LGT we consider is given by:

$$\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_P \prod_{\ell \in \partial P} s_\ell, \quad (2)$$

where \sum_P denotes a sum over all plaquettes P of the lattice, and the product is taken over all links $\ell \in \partial P$ forming the edge of plaquette P . Show that \mathcal{H} is invariant under local gauge transformations, i.e. $\mathcal{H}(\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}) = \mathcal{H}(G(\mathbf{r}_n)\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\})$ is the same for equivalent spin configurations. Hence \mathcal{H} assigns one definite energy to each physical state (equivalence class) $[\{s_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}]$. Does this result also hold for other 2D lattices (i.e. other than the square lattice)?

(1.c) (4 Points) Now we would like to make a particular gauge choice. We choose the so-called temporal gauge for which $s_{\langle i, j \rangle_y} = +1$ for all bonds along the y -direction in the square lattice. Show that any given configuration of spins $\{s'_{\langle i, j \rangle}\}$ can be transformed into a gauge-equivalent configuration which satisfies the temporal gauge condition.

(1.d) (4 Points) Show that the number of spin degrees of freedom in the temporal gauge, N_τ , is equal to the number of gauge equivalence classes, $N_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, in the \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory in the thermodynamic limit:

$$N_\tau/L^2 = N_{\mathbb{Z}_2}/L^2 + \mathcal{O}(1/L). \quad (3)$$

Hint: Use the result from (1.b)!

- (1.e) (4 Points) Using the results above, calculate the partition function Z of the \mathbb{Z}_2 lattice gauge theory and show that it is equivalent to the 1D Ising model.

Problem 2 Spin-wave theory: Ferromagnetic case

Consider the isotropic Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}} = - \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \left[\frac{J}{2} \left(\hat{S}_i^+ \hat{S}_j^- + \hat{S}_j^+ \hat{S}_i^- \right) + J_z \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_j^z \right], \quad (4)$$

with spin $S = 1/2$ and $J = J_z = 1$ (i.e. ferromagnetic case). Let us denote the state with all spins up by $|0\rangle$. Now consider the state:

$$|\Psi(\mathbf{k})\rangle = L^{-d/2} \sum_j e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{j}} \hat{S}_j^- |0\rangle. \quad (5)$$

- (2.a) (4 Points) Show that this state is an eigenstate of the Hamiltonian (4).
 (2.b) (4 Points) Show that this state has a well-defined momentum \mathbf{k} .
 (2.c) (4 Points) Show that this state is a ground state of the Hamiltonian (4) for $\mathbf{k} = 0$.
 (2.d) (4 Points) Consider the Holstein-Primakov transformation:

$$\hat{S}_j^z = S - \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j, \quad (6)$$

$$\hat{S}_j^+ = \sqrt{2S - \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j} \hat{a}_j, \quad (7)$$

$$\hat{S}_j^- = \hat{a}_j^\dagger \sqrt{2S - \hat{a}_j^\dagger \hat{a}_j}. \quad (8)$$

Show that it yields the correct spin commutators for $[\hat{S}_i^+, \hat{S}_j^-] = 2\delta_{i,j} \hat{S}_j^z$.